appropriate the USACM Trust is objecting to these remaining POC's on a claim by claim basis.

Pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), the USACM Trust requests an order disallowing in full Proof of

23

24

25

26



Claim No. 10725-02064-2 by Neil A. and Josephine E. Xavier (the "Subject Proof of Claim") against USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM") in the amount of \$51,625.<sup>1</sup>

Here, the Subject Proof of Claim is based upon a \$51,625 investment in the Marquis Hotel Loan, which loan the borrower repaid in full. The USACM Trust has filed omnibus objections to the claims arising out of investments in the Marquis Hotel Loan. Along with the Marquis Hotel omnibus objections filed on May 2, 2008, the USACM Trust filed the Declaration of Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the Marquis Hotel Loan ("Burr Decl.") [DE 6301].<sup>2</sup> A Copy of the Burr Decl. will be served on the claimants. To avoid confusion, the USACM Trust will redact the hearing date from the declaration and omit "Exhibit A."<sup>3</sup>

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. BACKGROUND FACTS

# a. The USACM Bankruptcy

On April 13, 2006 ("Petition Date"), USACM filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor's post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

<sup>1</sup> In compliance with Nevada LR 3007, a copy of the first page of the Subject Proof of Claim is attached as **Exhibit A** 

<sup>2</sup> The USACM Trust filed the Burr Decl. in support of the Marquis Hotel omnibus objections. The Court heard those omnibus objections and sustained them at the omnibus hearing on June 9, 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Exhibit A lists the Direct Lenders whose claims were affected by the Marquis Hotel omnibus objections. Those Direct Lender claims have no relevance to this objection and, therefore, Exhibit A to the Burr Decl. will not be provided to Mr. and Mrs. Xavier.



USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That business included the solicitation of investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as "Direct Lenders" in USACM's bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

# b. The Marquis Hotel Loan

On or about February 25, 2008, the Marquis Hotel Loan was paid off in full, as reflected in USACM's books and records. (Burr Decl. ¶ 8.) Shortly thereafter, USACM processed the payoff and distributed the proceeds to the Direct Lenders on the Marquis Hotel Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 8.) The Direct Lenders were therefore paid in full, subject to servicing fees and any other charges under their respective Loan Servicing Agreements and this Court's orders, including the Confirmation Order.

In general, the Direct Lenders received their principal and interest on the Marquis Hotel Loan, less sums withheld for servicing fees, servicer advances, Prepaid Interest and sums known as the 2% Holdback pursuant to the Confirmed Plan. On the Effective Date of the Plan, the Prepaid Interest was applied, a portion of the 2% Holdback was applied to the allowed claims of the Direct Lender Committee, and the balance paid to the Direct Lenders. (Burr Decl. ¶ 9.)

Accordingly, the USACM Trust no longer has further obligations to the Direct Lenders arising out of the Marquis Hotel Loan because, pursuant to USACM's books and



records, the Marquis Hotel Loan has been repaid in full, and the Direct Lenders have received such payment. (Burr Decl. ¶ 10.)

### II. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

### III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.* (*In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.*), 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

#### IV. OBJECTION

The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow in full Proof of Claim No. 10725-02064-2 by Neil A. and Josephine E. Xavier. According to USACM's books and records as reconstructed by Mesirow, the Marquis Hotel Loan has been repaid in full by the borrower, and the Direct Lenders have in turn received repayment of their